

No. ID/KN1/21/82/27747.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman Shri Jagmohan and the management M/s (i) Executive Engineer Haryana State Electricity Board situated Division Panipat.

(ii) Sub Divisional Officer Haryana State Electricity Board Simalkha regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana, hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act the matters specified below, being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

whether the termination of service of Shri Jagmohan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/73/82/27753.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Shiv Bahadur and the management of M/s Elofic Industries 11/7 Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245 dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication.

Whether termination of service of Shri Shiv Bahadur was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/33/82/27778.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Partap and the management of M/s Mechelec, 17/3 Mile Delhi Road Gurgaon regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Partap was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The 23rd June, 1982

No. ID/FD/69/82/28303.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Shaikat Ali and the management of Shri Ram Parkash Contractor M/s Sirocco Pressing Pvt. Ltd. 15/7, Mathura Road Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab-57/11245, dated 7th February 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Shaikat Ali was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?